

Trotter formula and thermodynamic limits

Oscar Bolina*

Departamento de Física-Matemática

Universidade de São Paulo

Caixa Postal 66318 São Paulo

05315-970 Brasil

Abstract

We discuss the interchangeability of the thermodynamic limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ and the infinite limit of the Trotter number $n \rightarrow \infty$ when Trotter formula $e^{H_0+V} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{H_0/n} e^{V/n})$ is used to calculate partition functions with Hamiltonians of the form $H = H_0 + V$.

Key words: Trotter Formula, Thermodynamic Limit

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d.

This note deals with thermodynamic limits with respect of parameters in certain thermodynamic functions of model Hamiltonians given by a sum of non-commuting operators $H = H_0 + V$. The first parameter is the inverse temperature β that appears, for instance, in the definition of the free energy

$$E = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z \quad (1)$$

where Z is the partition function of a particular model considered.

It is sometimes advantageous to write the partition function of quantum models in the Trotter representation by the use of the Trotter formula (for pair of operators limited from below)

$$e^{-\beta(H_0+V)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{-\beta H_0/n} e^{-\beta V/n})^n. \quad (2)$$

This representation is specially useful in quantum mechanics since the operators H_0 and V will not in general commute, and one will not be allowed to decompose the exponential operator into a product of exponentials. The Trotter formula achieves this at the expense of formally transforming

*Supported by FAPESP under grant 01/08485-6. **E-mail:** bolina@if.usp.br

the quantum problem into a classical one having one extra dimension. As a result, a second parameter n introduced, so that the ratio β/n plays the role of a lattice spacing in the extra "temperature" direction $[0, \beta]$. This involves taking another limit in the free energy function

$$E = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \text{Tr } T^n \quad (3)$$

where $\text{Tr } T^n$ is the trace of the n^{th} power of transfer matrix T .

The order of the limits in (3) can not in general be interchanged. It is not always clear whether it is possible to interchange the limits even in simple problems and an analysis of its validity is beyond our scope here.

We want to point out that n and β always appear as a single variable in the ratio β/n throughout the calculation and the question of the order of the limits is relevant only at the end, when the effect of letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ first definitely manifests itself.

In order to illustrate the role played by the parameters β and n in the thermodynamic functions and see how the *n-to-infinity-first* limit imposes itself when interchangeability fails it suffices to consider the free energy of a simple spin model Hamiltonian $H = \sigma_z + \lambda \sigma_x$, where λ is a constant and σ_z and σ_x are the usual Pauli spin matrices

$$\sigma_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

For this model, a direct evaluation of the partition function $Z = \text{Tr } e^{-\beta H}$ in (1) is available since the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian are $E_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{1 + \lambda^2}$. Thus the trace can be written as a sum over the energy eigenstates $Z = e^{-\beta E_-} + e^{-\beta E_+}$. The analysis is facilitated when we write $Z = e^{-\beta E_-} (1 + e^{-\beta(E_+ - E_-)})$, since in the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ the lower eigenvalue term dominates, and the relative error goes to zero exponentially. In this limit the free energy (1) becomes

$$E = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln e^{-\beta E_-} (1 + e^{-\beta(E_+ - E_-)}) = -\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2}. \quad (4)$$

The Trotter formula approach to this problem consists in applying (2) to the partition function of the model. This leads to the transfer matrix formalism in which the partition function reads $Z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\sigma_z} \langle \sigma_z | T^n | \sigma_z \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr } T^n$, where T is the 2×2 transfer matrix

$$T = e^{-\frac{\beta}{n}} \cosh \frac{\beta \lambda}{n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\tanh \frac{\beta \lambda}{n} \\ -e^{2\frac{\beta}{n}} \tanh \frac{\beta \lambda}{n} & e^{2\frac{\beta}{n}} \end{bmatrix},$$

with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \cosh \frac{\beta}{n} \cosh \frac{\beta\lambda}{n} \pm \sqrt{\cosh^2 \frac{\beta}{n} \cosh^2 \frac{\beta\lambda}{n} - 1}.$$

Although so far β and n have always appeared together as the ratio β/n , from this point on we will see that in order to obtain the correct asymptotic value (4) we have to let $n \rightarrow \infty$, with a finite β . In the first place the eigenvalues of T^n are the n^{th} power of the eigenvalues of T , so that $\text{Tr } T^n = \lambda_+^n (1 + \lambda_-^n / \lambda_+^n)$ and we could be tempted to proceed as in the ordinary transfer-matrix method by taking only the maximum eigenvalue. However, as a note of caution on the effect of n , we must point out that the eigenvalues depend on n and the ratio $(\lambda_- / \lambda_+)^n$ may remain finite and therefore may not be negligible even when n is infinite.

In our case, $(\lambda_- / \lambda_+)^n$ *does* remain finite when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and converges to $\exp\{-2\beta\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}\}$. Only after this check the free energy becomes

$$E = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{\beta} (n \ln \lambda_+ + \ln(1 + e^{-2\beta\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}})) \approx \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{n}{\beta} \ln \lambda_+ \quad (5)$$

and we can disregard the second factor in comparison with $n \ln \lambda_+$.

Another manifestation of the *n-to-infinite-first* limit is that it is the first order term resulting from the expansion of (5) in power series of β/n , when $\beta/n \ll 1$, that yields the correct free energy (4)

$$E = -\frac{n}{\beta} \ln(1 + \frac{\beta}{n} \sqrt{1+\lambda^2}) = -\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}.$$

The above considerations stem from the author's tentative to solve an open problem in statistical mechanics. This is the problem of proving the existence of a Kosterlitz–Thouless phase in the ground state ($\beta \rightarrow \infty$) of a one-dimensional array of quantum rotators (See [1] for a definition of the model and some results towards this proof). One apparent very natural approach to this problem consists in using the Trotter representation to map the one-dimensional quantum rotator system into a two-dimensional classical system in the form we have stated above. Since a Kosterlitz–Thouless phase has already been proven for the two-dimensional classical rotator [2], it is a generally held opinion that the quantum rotators also exhibit this transition, but the proof is still missing.

References

- [1] O. Bolina, J. R. Parreira, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **12** N. 27 & 28 (1998) 2803
- [2] J. Fröhlich, T. Spencer, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **81** (1981) 527